

# AN EXTENSION OF BURNSIDE'S THEOREM TO INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL SPACES

BY

V. LOMONOSOV\*

*Department of Mathematics, Kent State University,  
Kent, OH 44242, USA*

## ABSTRACT

The classical Burnside's Theorem guarantees in a finite dimensional space the existence of invariant subspaces for a proper subalgebra of the matrix algebra. In this paper we give an extension of Burnside's Theorem for a general Banach space, which also gives new results on invariant subspaces.

## Introduction

The fundamental Burnside Theorem for finite-dimensional representations says that an algebra of linear operators on a finite-dimensional space  $F$ , without invariant subspace, must be the algebra  $L(F)$  of all linear operators on the space. In other words, if an algebra  $R$  is strictly contained in  $L(F)$ , then there exists  $x \in F$  and  $\varphi \in F^*$  s.t. for every  $A \in R$ ,  $(Ax, \varphi) = 0$ .

In this paper we will extend this result to Banach spaces in the following way. In Theorem 1 below, let  $B$  be a Banach space,  $L(B)$  the bounded linear operators on  $B$  and  $K(B)$  the compact operators on  $B$ . Let  $\|A\|$  denote the essential norm of  $A$ , i.e. the distance from  $A$  to the space of compact operators. A weakly closed algebra is an algebra closed in weak operator topology.

**THEOREM 1:** *Let  $R$  be a weakly closed subalgebra of  $L(B)$ ,  $R \neq L(B)$ . Then there exists  $x \in B^{**}$  and  $y \in B^*$ ,  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ , s.t. for every  $A \in R$*

$$(1) \quad |(x, A^*y)| \leq \|A\|.$$

---

\*Partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.  
Received February 5, 1991 and in revised form May 1, 1991

If  $B$  is finite-dimensional then  $|||A||| = 0$ , so Theorem 1 gives Burnside's theorem.

For a given subset  $S \subset L(B)$  and a vector  $x \in B$  put  $Sx = \bigcup_{A \in S} Ax$  and  $S' = \bigcup A^*$ . We say that  $S$  is transitive if it doesn't have a nontrivial invariant subspace and  $S$  is essentially transitive if the conclusion of Theorem 1 is false for  $S$ . We say that a subalgebra  $R \subset L(B)$  has the Percy-Salinas (PS) property if there exists net  $\{A_\alpha\} \subset R$  and a nonzero operator  $A \in L(B)$  such that:

$$(2) \quad \lim_\alpha (x, A_\alpha^* y) = (x, A^* y)$$

for every vector  $x \in B^{**}$  and every functional  $y \in B^*$  and

$$(3) \quad \lim_\alpha |||A_\alpha||| = 0.$$

Of course, every bounded operator is a weak limit of finite-dimensional ones, so it is the assumption  $\{A_\alpha\} \subset R$  that makes the condition nontrivial.

**COROLLARY 1:** *Let  $R$  be a weakly closed proper subalgebra of  $L(B)$  with PS property. Then the algebra  $R'$  is nontransitive.*

*Proof:* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be as in Theorem 1. Then for every pair of operators  $T_1, T_2$  in  $R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(x, T_2^* A^* T_1^* y)| &= \lim_\alpha |(x, T_2^* A_\alpha T_1^* y)| \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_\alpha |||T_2 A_\alpha T_1||| \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_\alpha |||T_2||| |||T_1||| |||A_\alpha||| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that one of the three subspaces

$$\bigcap_{T \in R} \ker(T^*), \overline{R'y}, \overline{\text{span}_{T_1, T_2 \in R} (T_2^* A^* T_1^* y)}$$

is a nontrivial invariant subspace for the algebra  $R'$ .

If the algebra  $R$  contains a nonzero compact operator then the PS property is trivially true for  $R$ . So we get the results obtained by the author's earlier techniques [8]. □

**COROLLARY 2:** *If a weakly closed proper subalgebra  $R$  of  $L(B)$  contains a nonzero compact operator, then the algebra  $R'$  is nontransitive.*

Of course the existence of a hyperinvariant subspace in  $B^*$  for operators that commute with a compact operator follows from Corollary 2. It should be mentioned that the following theorem by Percy and Salinas [6] is not a consequence of the author's earlier techniques [see 7].

**THEOREM (PEARCY-SALINAS):** *Let  $T$  be a bounded operator on Hilbert space. Assume that there is a sequence of rational functions  $(s_n)$  s.t.  $s_n(T)$  converges weakly to a non-zero operator  $A$  and s.t.*

$$|||s_n(T)||| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Then  $T$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

However, from Corollary 1 we get the following strengthening of the Percy-Salinas Theorem as

**COROLLARY 3:** *Let  $T$  be a bounded operator on Banach space. Assume that there is a nonzero operator  $A$  and net  $\{A_\alpha\}$  of operators that commute with  $T$  s.t. (2) and (3) hold. Then  $T^*$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

The commutant of  $T$  is a proper subalgebra of  $L(B)$ , so Corollary 3 is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.

**COROLLARY 4:** *Let  $S_\phi \subset L(B)$  be defined by  $S_\phi = \{A \in R, |||A||| \leq 1\}$ . Then there exists a nonzero functional  $y \in B^*$  s.t. the set  $S'_\phi y$  is not dense in  $B^*$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be as in Theorem 1. Then  $\sup_{|||A^*||| < 1} |(x, A^*y)| \leq 1$ , so  $S'_\phi y$  is not dense in  $B$ . □

This corollary was pointed out to us by S.W. Brown, who has obtained it and similar results in the case of a commutative algebra.

In Hilbert space Theorem 1 has the following equivalent formulation.

**THEOREM 2:** *Let  $R$  be as in Theorem 1. Let  $B$  be Hilbert space, then there exist two bounded nets  $(x_\alpha)$  in  $B$  and  $(y_\alpha)$  in  $B$  s.t.  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} x \neq 0$ ,  $y_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} y \neq 0$  and for every  $A \in R$*

$$\langle Ax_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof of Theorems 1 and 2:* Let  $B$  be a Banach space,  $Q$  a compact Hausdorff space,  $R$  a subalgebra of  $L(B)$ ,  $WQ$  the Banach space of weak\*-continuous functions  $Q \rightarrow B^*$  with sup-norm,  $SQ$  the subspace of  $WQ$  consisting of the strongly continuous functions,  $NR$  the algebra of norm-continuous functions  $Q \rightarrow R'$ . Let  $C = C(Q)$  be the algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $Q$ . Every function  $f \in C(Q)$  is a linear operator on the space  $WQ$ . We let  $f^*$  denote its adjoint.

*Definition:* Let  $M$  be a subspace of  $WQ$ , invariant under the algebra  $C$ . We say that  $\theta \in M^*$  is a point functional if there exists a point  $q \in Q$  s.t.

$$(4) \quad f^* \theta = \overline{f(q)} \theta$$

for every function  $f \in C$ .

If  $E$  is a subspace in a Banach space let  $U_E$  denote the unit ball of  $E$  and  $E^\perp$  denote the annihilator of  $E$  in the dual space. We say that a functional  $\theta \in M^*$  is a  $\delta(q, x)$  functional or simply  $\delta$ -functional if there exists a point  $q \in Q$  and an element  $x \in B^{**}$  s.t. if  $h \in M$  then

$$(5) \quad \theta(h) = (x, h(q)).$$

**LEMMA 1:** *Let  $\theta \in M^*$  be a point functional and assume  $M \supset SQ$ . Then the restriction  $\chi = \theta|_{SQ}$  is a  $\delta$ -functional.*

*Proof:* It is known [3] that the functional  $\chi$  has the form

$$(6) \quad \chi(h) = \int_Q (d\chi(q), h(q))$$

where  $d\chi$  is a regular Borel measure on  $Q$  with values in  $B^{**}$ . Let  $q \in Q$  be as in (4). For an open set  $V = Q/\{q\}$  and for a given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a closed set  $F \subset V$  s.t.  $\|d\chi|_V - d\chi|_F\| < \epsilon$ . Then there exists function  $f \in C(Q)$  s.t.  $f(q) = 0, f|_F \equiv 1$ . If  $G$  is given Borel set and  $G \subset F$ , then

$$d\chi(G) = \int_G d\chi = \int_G \overline{f} d\chi = f^* \chi = \overline{f(q)} \chi = 0,$$

so  $d\chi|_F = 0$ . This gives for every  $\epsilon > 0, \|d\chi|_V\| < \epsilon$ , and so  $d\chi|_V = 0$ . □

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with orthogonal basis  $\{e_n\}$ ,  $LIM(\alpha_n)$  a Banach limit in the space  $l^\infty$ ,  $Q$  compactification of integer numbers by  $\{\infty\}$ . Then  $\theta(h) = LIM(h(n), e_n)$  is an example of a point functional that is not  $\delta$ -functional.

Let  $Q \times U_B$  be the topological product of the compact  $Q$  and the ball  $U_B$  with norm topology. The functions  $s \in WQ$  and  $f \in C(Q)$  define complex-valued functions  $L(s)$  and  $L_1(f)$  on  $Q \times U_B$  for the formulas  $L(s)(q, x) = (x, s(q))$ ,  $L_1(f)(q, x) = f(q)$ .

LEMMA 2:  $L(s), L_1(f)$  are continuous functions on

$$Q \times U_B.$$

Proof: Let  $q_0 \in Q$  and  $x_0 \in U_B$ . Let  $V_{q_0}, V_{x_0}$  be open subsets defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{q_0} &= \{q \in Q : |(x_0, s(q) - s(q_0))| < \epsilon/2\}, \\ V_{x_0} &= \{x \in U_B : \|x - x_0\| < \epsilon/2|s|\}; \end{aligned}$$

then for all  $(q, x) \in V_{x_0} \times V_{q_0}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(x, s(q)) - (x_0, s(q_0))| &\leq |((x - x_0, s(q))| + |(x_0, s(q) - s(q_0))| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2|s|}|s| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so  $L(s)$  is continuous. The continuity of  $L_1(f)$  is obvious.  $U_B$  is a completely regular space, so there exists the Cheh compactification  $F$  of the space  $Q \times U_B$ . The functions  $L_1(f)$  and  $L(s)$  in Lemma 2 have continuous extensions to all of  $F$ . Thus  $L(M), L(N), L_1(C(Q))$  are subspaces of  $C(F)$ ,  $L(M) \supset L(N)$ , and  $L(M)$  and  $L(N)$  are invariant for  $L_1(C(Q))$ . Moreover, the operators  $L : M \rightarrow C(F)$  and  $L_1 : C(Q) \rightarrow C(F)$  are isometries. □

LEMMA 3: Let  $\varphi \in L(M)^*$ ,  $f \in C(Q)$  and

$$(L_1 f)^* \varphi = \gamma \varphi,$$

then  $f^*(L^* \varphi) = \gamma(L^* \varphi)$ .

Proof: For a given function  $h \in WQ$  we have  $(h, f^*(L^* \varphi)) = (fh, L^* \varphi) = (L(fh), \varphi) = (L_1(f)L(h), \varphi) = (L(h), L_1(f)^* \varphi) = (L(h), \gamma \varphi) = (h, \gamma L^* \varphi)$ . □

LEMMA 4: Let  $M, N$  be subspaces of  $WQ$ , invariant for  $C(Q)$ . Let  $M \supset N$  and let  $\theta \in M^*$  be an extreme point of  $U_{N^\perp}$ . Then  $\theta$  is a point-functional.

The proof of this lemma is similar to De Branges' famous proof of the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem [2].

Proof: Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be a functional in  $L(M)^*$  s.t.  $L^*(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$ . Then, obviously  $1 = |\hat{\theta}| = |\theta|$ . By the Hahn-Banach and Riesz Theorems there exists a measure  $d\mu \in C(F)^*$  which is an extension of  $\hat{\theta}$  to space  $C(F)$ . Let  $f$  be a function in  $C(Q)$ , s.t.

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq f \leq 1$$

and  $\hat{f} = L_1(f), d\mu_1 = \hat{f}d\mu, d\mu_2 = (1 - \hat{f})d\mu, m_1 = \|d\mu_1\|, m_2 = \|d\mu_2\|$ . Then  $\{d\mu_1, d\mu_2, d\mu\} \subset L(N)^\perp$  and  $m_1 + m_2 = \int_F \hat{f}|d\mu| + \int_F (1 - \hat{f})|d\mu| = \int_F |d\mu| = 1$ . If  $\theta_1 = d\mu_1|_{L(M)}, \theta_2 = d\mu_2|_{L(M)}$ , then  $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| \geq |\theta_1 + \theta_2| = 1$  and  $|\theta_1| + |\theta_2| \leq m_1 + m_2 = 1$ , so we have  $|\theta_2| = m_2, |\theta_1| = m_1$ . If  $m_1 = 0$ , then  $(L_1 f)^* \hat{\theta} = 0$ , so by Lemma 3,  $f^* \theta = 0$ . If  $m_2 = 0$  then  $(1 - f)^* \theta = 0$ . If  $m_1 \neq 0$  and  $m_2 \neq 0$  then we have

$$\hat{\theta} = m_1 \frac{\theta_1}{m_1} + m_2 \frac{\theta_2}{m_2}, \left\| \frac{\theta_1}{m_1} \right\| = 1, \left\| \frac{\theta_2}{m_2} \right\| = 1.$$

The functional  $\hat{\theta}$  is an extreme point in the ball  $U_{L(N)^\perp}$ , so we get  $\theta_1/m_1 = \hat{\theta}$  or, by Lemma 3,  $f^* \theta/m_1 = \theta$ . So for any function  $f$  with property (7) the functional  $\theta$  is an eigenvector and the functions of this type obviously generate  $C(Q)$ . The corresponding eigenvalue is a multiplicative functional on  $C(Q)$ , so Gelfand's theorem now gives the lemma. □

LEMMA 5: Let  $T \in K(B)$  and  $h \in WQ$ . Then  $T^* h \in SQ$ .

We omit the simple proof.

Let  $R$  be an algebra in  $L(B)$  and let  $h \in WQ, \|h\| = 1$ . By applying the algebra  $NR$  to  $h$  we get subspaces

$$N = NR(h), M = \text{span}(N, SQ).$$

Then  $M \supset N$  and  $M$  and  $N$  are invariant for the algebra  $C(Q)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a point functional,  $\varphi \in M^*$ . Then, by Lemma 1,  $\varphi|_{SQ}$  is a  $\delta(q, x)$  functional.

LEMMA 6: If functional  $\varphi \in N^\perp$ , then for every operator  $A \in R$

$$(8) \quad |(x, A^*h(q))| \leq 2|\varphi| \|A\| |h|.$$

Proof: Let  $T$  be a compact operator s.t.  $\|A - T\| \leq \|A\| + \epsilon$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(x, A^*h(q))| &= |\delta A^*h| = |\delta(A^*h) - \varphi(A^*h)| \\ &= |(\delta - \varphi)A^*h| \leq |(\delta - \varphi)(A^* - T^*)h| + |(\delta - \varphi)T^*h|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5,  $T^*h \in SQ$ , so by Lemma 1,  $\delta(T^*h) - \varphi(T^*h) = 0$ . It is clear that  $|\delta| \leq |\varphi|$ , so we get

$$|(x, A^*h(q))| \leq 2|\varphi| \|A - T\| |h| < 2|\varphi|(\|A\| + \epsilon)|h|.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$|(x, A^*h(q))| \leq 2|\varphi| \|A\| |h|.$$

□

Let  $\sigma_F(T)$  be the subset of the spectrum of an operator  $T \in L(B)$  consisting of the isolated points of finite multiplicity.

LEMMA 7: Let the subspace  $L \subset B$  have finite codimension. Assume  $\alpha > 0$  and

$$(9) \quad \sup_{x \in L} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} < \alpha.$$

Assume  $\gamma \in \sigma(T)$  and  $|\gamma| > \alpha$ . Then  $\gamma \in \sigma_F(T)$

Proof: It is sufficient to prove this lemma in the case that  $\gamma$  is a boundary point of  $\sigma(T)$ . Let  $P$  be a bounded projection on  $L$ . Then the operator  $T_1 = (T - \gamma I)P$  is semi-Fredholm.

It is clear that  $\ker T_1 = \ker P$  and if  $x \in L$ , then

$$|T_1x| = |(T - \gamma I)Px| = |(T - \gamma I)x| \geq (|\gamma| - \alpha)|x|.$$

So  $T_1$  has finite-dimensional kernel and closed range.  $T - \gamma I$  is a finite-dimensional perturbation of  $T_1$ , so by [1, Corollary 1.3.7]  $T - \gamma I$  is also semi-Fredholm. Moreover,  $T - \gamma I$  is a limit of invertible operators so it is a Fredholm operator with index zero by [1, Theorem 4.2.1, Corollary 3.2.10]. Now by [9, Corollary 3.11]  $T - \gamma I$  is a compact perturbation of an invertible operator, so by Weil's theorem  $\gamma$  is isolated and has finite multiplicity. □

LEMMA 8: If  $R$  is an essentially transitive subalgebra, then  $R$  contains an operator  $T$  with the following properties:  $1 \in \sigma_F(T)$  and if  $\gamma \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_F(T)$  then  $|\gamma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Proof: Let  $y$  be a functional in  $B^*$ ,  $|y| = 3$ , and let  $Q$  be a ball of radius 2 with center in  $y$ . Then  $Q$  is weak\* compact and  $\{0\} \notin Q$ . The function  $h(q) \equiv q$  is obviously weak\* continuous, so  $h(q) \in WQ$  and  $|h(q)| = 5$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be subspaces of  $WQ$  as in Lemma 6. If  $N$  is not dense in  $M$ , then there exists a functional  $\theta \in M^*$  which is an extreme point of  $U_{N^\perp}$ . Then by Lemma 6 there exists a point  $q_0 \in Q$  and a nonzero element  $x \in B^{**}$  s.t. the inequality

$$|(x, A^*h(q_0))| \leq 2\|A\| |h|$$

holds for every operator  $A \in R$ . For  $y = h(q_0)/2|h|$  we get inequality (1). This contradiction gives that  $N$  is dense in  $M$ . Let  $g$  be a function in  $SQ$  defined by  $g(q) \equiv y$ . Then there exists an operator-valued function  $A(q) \in NR$  s.t.  $|A(q)h(q) - g(q)| < \frac{1}{8}$ . Thus the function  $A(q)$  generates a continuous map  $\Psi : Q \rightarrow Q$  by the formula  $\Psi(q) = A(q)q$ . By Tychonoff's fixed point theorem there exists a point  $q_0 \in Q$  s.t.  $A(q_0)q_0 = q_0$ . We let  $T$  denote  $A(q_0)$ . Let  $V$  be open set in  $Q$  defined by

$$V = \{q \in Q : \|A(q) - T\| < \frac{1}{48}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{q \in V} |Tq - y| &\leq \sup_{q \in V} |(T - A(q))q| + \sup_{q \in V} |(A(q)q) - y| \\ &\leq \frac{5}{48} + \frac{1}{8} < \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

If  $V_1 = \{z : |z| \leq 1, z + q_0 \in V\}$  then  $V_1$  is a weak\* open subset of the unit ball  $U_{B^*}$  that contains  $\{0\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in V_1} |Tz| &= \sup_{z \in V_1} |T(z + q_0) - Tq_0| \\ &\leq \sup_{q \in V} |Tq - Tq_0| \\ &\leq \sup_{q \in V} |Tq - y| + |Tq_0 - y| \\ &< \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

By definition of weak\* topology there exists a subspace  $L \subset B^*$  of finite codimension s.t.  $L \cap U_{B^*} \subset V_1$ . We have

$$\sup_{z \in U_L} |Tz| \leq \sup_{z \in V_1} |Tz| < \frac{1}{2},$$

so by Lemma 7 every point  $\gamma \in \sigma(T)$ ,  $|\gamma| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  is an isolated point in  $\sigma(T)$  of finite multiplicity. □



LEMMA 9: *Let  $R$  be a uniformly closed essentially transitive subalgebra  $L(B)$ , then  $R$  contains a nonzero finite-dimensional projection.*

*Proof:* Let  $T$  be an operator as in Lemma 8. Since  $\{\gamma : (T - \gamma I)^{-1} \in R\}$  is a component of the resolvent set of  $T$  it follows that if  $(T - \gamma I)^{-1}$  exists and  $|\gamma| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  then  $(T - \gamma I)^{-1} \in R$ .

By Lemma 8 there exists circle  $\alpha \subset C$  s.t. 1 is the only point of  $\sigma(T)$  inside  $\alpha$  and for every point  $\gamma \in \alpha$   $(T - \gamma I)^{-1} \in R$ . By the Riesz theorem

$$P = \frac{1}{-2\pi i} \int_{\alpha} (T - \gamma I)^{-1} d\gamma$$

is a nonzero finite-dimensional projection and  $P \in R'$ . If  $P = P_1^*$  then  $P_1$  is a finite-dimensional projection in  $R$ . □

Now to finish the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following well-known fact [8].

LEMMA 10: *If a transitive algebra  $R$  contains a nonzero finite-dimensional operator, then  $R$  is weakly dense in  $L(B)$ .*

Essential transitivity implies transitivity, so by combining Lemma 9 and Lemma 10 we finish the proof of Theorem 1. □

Of course Lemma 9 gives the possibility to obtain different results on density. For example one of them is

THEOREM 3: *If  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $R$  is a uniformly closed essentially transitive subalgebra of  $L(H)$ , then  $R$  contains all compact operators in  $L(H)$ .*

Now we'll prove the equivalence of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in Hilbert space. We first prove that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1 if  $B$  is Hilbert space.

Assume that Theorem 2 holds. Let  $C = \overline{\lim_{\alpha}} |x_{\alpha}| \cdot |y_{\alpha}|$ . If  $A \in R$  then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\alpha} (Ax_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} (A(x_{\alpha} - x), y_{\alpha} - y) - (Ax, y) + \lim_{\alpha} (Ax, y_{\alpha}) + \lim_{\alpha} (Ax_{\alpha}, y) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lim_{\alpha} (Ax, y_{\alpha}) = \lim_{\alpha} (Ax_{\alpha}, y) = (Ax, y).$$

Thus

$$(Ax, y) = \lim_{\alpha} (A(x - x_{\alpha}), (y_{\alpha} - y)).$$

If  $K$  is a compact operator, then

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ax, y)| &\leq \overline{\lim}_\alpha |(A - K)(x - x_\alpha), (y_\alpha - y)| + \overline{\lim}_\alpha |(K(x - x_\alpha), (y_\alpha - y))| \\ &\leq \|A - K\|4C. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $z = y/4C$ . Then

$$|(Ax, z)| \leq \inf_K \|A - K\| = \|A\|$$

and so Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2.  $\square$

To prove that Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2 we use the following result (by Glimm) [5];  $B$  is assumed to be Hilbert space.

LEMMA 11: Let  $\Psi \in L(B)^*$  and  $\Psi \in K(B)^\perp$ . Then there is a pair of bounded nets  $(x_\alpha)$  and  $(y_\alpha)$  s.t.

- (1)  $w - \lim_\alpha x_\alpha = 0$ ,  $w - \lim_\alpha y_\alpha = 0$
- (2) For every  $A \in L(B)$ ,  $\Psi(A) = \lim_\alpha (Ax_\alpha, y_\alpha)$ .

Now let  $\Psi_1(A) = (Ax, y)$  where  $x, y$  are as in Theorem 1,  $A \in R$ . Theorem 1 gives that  $\Psi_1$  can be extended to span  $(R, K(B))$  by putting  $\Psi_1(T) = 0$  for  $T \in K(B)$ . Now let  $\Psi$  be the Hahn-Banach extension of the  $\Psi_1$  to all of  $L(B)$ . We now use Lemma 1 and get Theorem 2 with  $x_\alpha = x + x_\alpha$  and  $y_\alpha = y - y_\alpha$ .

Finally we mention that in the case of a nonreflexive Banach space, Theorem 1 gives invariant subspace corollaries only in the dual space  $B^*$ .

Since Enflo in 1976 [4] showed that there are counter-examples to the invariant subspace problem in general Banach spaces, this may be a sign that the following result can be true: If  $A$  is a bounded linear operator in a Banach space, then  $A^*$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

The known counterexamples do not contradict this conjecture. By Corollary 1, this would be true if the following is true: If  $A$  is a bounded linear operator in a Banach space, then there exists an algebra with PS property which contains the operator  $A$ .

## References

1. S. R. Caradus, W. E. Pfaffenberger and Bertram Yood, *Calkin Algebras and Algebras of Operators on Banach Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1974.
2. L. De Branges, *The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 822-824.

3. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*. Am. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys **15** (1977).
4. P. H. Enflo, *On the invariant subspace problem for Banach spaces*, *Seminaire Maurey-Schwarz (1975-1976)*; *Acta Math.* **158** (1987), 213-313.
5. J. Glimm, *A Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Ann. of Math.* **72** (1960), 216-244.
6. C. Pearcy and N. Salinas, *An invariant subspace theorem*, *Michigan Math. J.* **20** (1973), 21-31.
7. C. Pearcy and A. Shields, *A survey of the Lomonosov technique in the theory of invariant subspaces*, *Am. Math. Soc., Math. Surveys* **13** (1974), 219-230.
8. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
9. Bertram Yood, *Properties of linear transformations preserved under addition of a completely continuous transformation*, *Duke Math. J.* **18** (1951), 599-612.